IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEVADA

CARLOS EFRAIN LEONEL GARCIA, an individual, on behalf of himself and all those similarly situated,

Civil Action No. 2:16-cv-00601-JAD-CWH

Plaintiff,

VS.

AUTOVEST, LLC, a foreign limited liability company;

Defendant.

ORDER GRANTING JOINT MOTION FOR PRELIMINARY APPROVAL OF CLASS ACTION SETTLEMENT AND NOTICE TO CLASS

This matter came before the Court on the parties' Joint Motion for Preliminary Approval of Class Action Settlement, Approving Notice, and Scheduling Final Approval Hearing, filed January 31, 2017 ("Joint Motion"). No party opposes the relief now sought.

Based on the written submissions and evidence presented in connection with the Motion, the Court makes the following findings and determinations only for purposes of considering the class action settlement currently before the Court:

IT IS HEREBY DETERMINED AND ORDERED THAT:

- 1. The settlement proposed in the Class Action Settlement Agreement (the "Agreement"), a copy of which is attached to the Joint Motion, is within the range of possible settlements suitable for final approval as fair, just, equitable, reasonable, adequate, and in the best interests of the Class. It was negotiated at arm's length.
- 2. The Agreement and the terms of the settlement are hereby preliminarily approved as fair, reasonable, adequate, proper, and in the best interests of the Class.

- 3. The proposed settlement is sufficient to justify giving notice of the Settlement to the Class.
- 4. The Court hereby conditionally certifies the following Class solely for the purposes of settlement: All persons who were sued by Autovest in Nevada between March 17, 2015 and the future date of entry of a Final Judgment in this case for the deficiency balance owed under a Simple Interest Vehicle Contract and Security Agreement ("Contract(s)") more than 4 years after the date of the last voluntary payment made under the Contracts and where the Contracts were secured by a lien on a motor vehicle. Excluded from this definition are individuals who filed for bankruptcy and whose debts under the Contracts were discharged, and individuals who already executed a release of any such claims against Autovest.
- 5. Within the Class, the Court conditionally certifies two subclasses, again solely for the purposes of settlement: a "Judgment Subclass" and a "Non-Judgment Subclass." The Judgment Subclass is comprised of Class Members against whom Autovest obtained a judgment before the future date of entry of a Final Judgment in this case. The "Non-Judgment Subclass" is comprised of Class Members against whom Autovest had not taken a judgment as of the future date of entry of Final Judgment in this case.
- 6. Proposed Intervenor, Lorraine Belt's, Motion to Intervene as an additional representative of the Class is hereby GRANTED, for purposes of settlement only. The nownamed Plaintiffs, Carlos Garcia and Lorraine Belt, are conditionally appointed as the representatives of the Class.
- 7. Counsel to the named Plaintiffs, Mitch D. Gliner, Daniel A. Edelman, Dan L. Wulz and Sophia A. Medina are conditionally appointed as Class Counsel.
 - 8. The Terms of Settlement set forth in the Agreement is hereby approved on a



preliminary basis.

- 9. In the event that (1) the Agreement is terminated pursuant to its terms or the Effective Date does not occur; (2) the Agreement is not finally approved in all material respects by the Court; or (3) the Agreement, Preliminary Approval Order, Final Approval Order, and/or Judgment are reversed, vacated, or modified in any material respect by this or any other Court, then (a) all orders entered pursuant to the Agreement shall be vacated, including, without limitation, all other relevant portions of this Order; (b) the instant action shall proceed as though an Agreement had never been reached; and (c) no reference to the Agreement, or any documents related thereto, shall be made for any purpose; provided, however, that if the Parties to the Agreement agree to jointly appeal an adverse ruling and the Agreement, Preliminary Approval Order, Final Approval Order, and Judgment are upheld on appeal in all material respects, then the Agreement, Preliminary Approval Order, Final Approval Order, and Judgment shall be given full force and effect. In the event of (1), (2), or (3) in this Paragraph, all Parties reserve all of their rights existing prior to the execution.
- 11. The Court hereby appoints First Class, Inc., class administration service, located at 5410 W. Roosevelt Rd. Ste. 222, Chicago, IL 60644-1479 as Settlement Administrator.

- 12. The Court approves the proposed form of notice to the class (the "Class Notice"), attached as Exhibit A to the Agreement, and approves the method of notice as adequate to inform the Class Members of the Action and as compliant with Due Process. As soon as practicable after receiving preliminary Court approval of the Agreement and no later than fourteen (14) days following the entry of this Order granting preliminary approval, the Settlement Administrator will mail the Class Notice to each potential member of the Class via first class United States Mail, postage prepaid, at his or her last known address as presently contained in Autovest's records. The Parties may make changes to the Class Notice form prior thereto only with the Court's approval. All expenses of such notice shall be paid by Autovest as set forth in the Agreement.
- 13. The Class Notice constitutes the best notice practicable under the circumstances, is reasonably calculated to communicate actual notice of the Action and proposed Settlement to the Class Members, and is due and sufficient notice to all persons entitled to notice of the settlement of this Action.

26

27

28

information described above is included and it is postmarked timely.

- 15. Agreement, meaning that any and all Objections to the settlement be postmarked to the Clerk of this Court and Class Counsel and Defendant's Counsel on or before that date. Any Class Member who does not opt-out may object to the Agreement by filing with the Court a written notice of objection that includes: proof that the objector is a Class Member as defined in the Agreement and a statement of each objection being made. Any Class Member may appear in person or through counsel, at his or her own expense, at the Final Approval Hearing, to present any evidence or argument that may be proper and relevant. If an objector wishes to be heard at the fairness hearing, the objector must also provide a list of witnesses (including each witness' mailing address and daytime telephone numbers) who may be called to testify at the Settlement Hearing, either live or by deposition or by affidavit, if any; and a list of exhibits, along with copies of those exhibits, that the objector may offer during the Settlement Hearing, if any. Class Counsel and/or Defendant's Counsel may, but need not, respond to the Objections, if any, by means of a memorandum of law of no more than 15 pages filed and served no later than five (5) business days prior to the Settlement Hearing. The manner in which a notice of Objection should be prepared, filed and delivered shall be stated in the Notice. Only Class Members who have filed and delivered valid and timely written Objections will be entitled to be heard at the Settlement Hearing, unless the Court orders otherwise. Any Class Member who fails to object in the manner prescribed herein shall be deemed to have waived his or her objections and forever be barred from making any such objections in the Action.
- 16. Subject to final approval of the proposed settlement and Agreement, and subject to the Parties giving the notice required by this Order, the Court approves the provisions of the

Agreement making the settlement and its release of claims binding on all Class Members, whether or not they actually received notice of the Action or its settlement.

- 17. In aid of the Court's jurisdiction to implement and enforce the proposed settlement, the Named Plaintiffs and all Class Members shall be preliminarily enjoined and barred from commencing or prosecuting any claim or action inconsistent with the Released Claims, either directly, representatively, derivatively, or in any other capacity, whether by a complaint, counterclaim, defense, or otherwise, in any local, state, or federal court, or in any agency or other authority or forum wherever located. Nothing in this Paragraph shall be construed to prevent a Class Member from presenting objections to the Court regarding the Agreement in accordance with Paragraph 15 of this Order.

2017. There shall be no replies.

DATED: 3-1/3/17

Judge Jennifer A. Dorsey U.S. District Judge

Respectfully Submitted:

LEGAL AID CENTER OF SOUTHERN NEVADA, INC.,

/s/Dan L. Wulz Dan L. Wulz, Esq. LIPSON, NEILSON, COLE, SELTZER, GARIN, P.C.

/s/Jessica Green Jessica Green, Esq.

Nevada Bar No. 5557 725 E. Charleston Blvd. Las Vegas, Nevada 89104 dwulz@lacsn.org smedina@lacsn.org Attorney for Plaintiff SIMMONDS & NARITA LLP /s/ R. Travis Campbell R. Travis Campbell, Esq. 44 Montgomery Street, Suite 3010 San Francisco, California 94104 Direct line (415) 283.1006 Fax (415) 352.2625 email: TCampbell@SNLLP.com Attorney for Defendant

Nevada Bar No. 12383 9900 Covington Cross Dr., Suite 120 Las Vegas, Nevada 89117 Attorney for Defendant